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PART B: INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES?
Delete yes or NO

CABINET MEMBER RESPONSIBLE:
Cllr Dudley Wensley

SUBJECT: Early Retirement Request for Inspire member of staff who did not combine pension

OFFICER CONTACT: Jackie Follis EXTN: 37580 E-Mail: Jackie.Follis@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: This report provides the background to the request and the implications for the Council.

DECISION:
To approve the Pension access request on a non-actuarially reduced basis due to the specific nature of the request following serious ill health and redundancy.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION:
To allow early access to the pension following redundancy and due to the serious ill health issues the individual is encountering.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:

a) To agree early access to the pension waiving the actuarial reduction
b) To agree early access to the pension not waiving the actuarial reduction

(Add any further options which arise from the consideration of this decision)

CABINET MEMBER(S)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED:

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE MEMBER TAKING THE DECISION:

DECISION BY: SIGNATURE: DATE:
Relevant Cabinet Member
Leader of Cabinet

\[28/2/13\]
PART B : INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINITY PROCEDURE RULES?

NO

CABINET MEMBER RESPONSIBLE: Councillor Dudley Wensley.

SUBJECT: Prosecutions for Housing and Council Tax Benefit Fraud

OFFICER CONTACT:

Name of Officer: Steve Clark
Ext: 37722
email: steve.clark@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Housing and Council Tax Benefit Prosecution Panel have received one report where benefit has been overpaid and there is evidence that offences have been committed under the Social Security Administration Act 1992. The Panel resolved to recommend to the Cabinet Member for Corporate Governance that the case be prosecuted for Benefit Fraud, subject to the final decision resting with the Solicitor to the Council in relation to specific charges and who may recommend a Local Authority Caution following thorough examination of the evidence.

DECISION:

As recommended in report / as amended by Cabinet / Cabinet Member -
To prosecute in this case subject to the final decision resting with the Solicitor to the Council, who may recommend a Local Authority Caution.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION:

The case fits the criteria of the Council's Anti Fraud Policy which was adopted by the Council in 2008

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:

1. To offer a Local Authority Caution.
2. To apply an administrative penalty equal to 30% of the overpayment and not to prosecute.
3. Do nothing

(Add any further options which arise from the consideration of this decision)

CABINET MEMBER(S)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED:

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE MEMBER TAKING THE DECISION:

DECISION BY: Signature: Date:

Relevant Cabinet Member

Leader of Cabinet

28 Feb 2013