## ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
NOTICE OF DECISIONS TAKEN BY INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBERS ON THURSDAY 11 OCTOBER 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE NO.</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
<th>CABINET MEMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ICM/086/200912| Arun Leisure Centre Management Board – Minutes of meeting held on 13 September 2012  
This decision has been deferred | Dendle         |
| ICM/091/111012| Flexible Retirement of a member of Staff (Exempt – Paragraph 1 – Information Relating to Individuals) | Wensley        |
| ICM/092/111012| Granting of Easement across Fitzalan Swimming Pool Car Park, Arundel (Exempt – Paragraph 3 – Information Relating to business Affairs)  
This decision has been deferred | Wensley        |
| ICM/093/111012| Park & Ride Provision for Significant Events  
This decision has been deferred | Dendle         |
| ICM/094/111012| Transfer of Open Space and Play Area (1st LEAP) via a Section 106 Agreement at Site 6, Bersted  
(Planning Ref BE/45/04)  
This decision has been deferred | Dendle         |
| ICM/095/111012| Pest Control Service                                                      | Elkins         |
| ICM/096/101112| Prosecutions for Housing and Council Tax Benefit Fraud (Exempt – Paragraph 7 – Information Relating to Prosecution for Crime) | Wensley        |

PLEASE NOTE THE SIGNED DECISIONS WILL COME INTO EFFECT AS FROM 10.00 A.M. ON THURSDAY 18 OCTOBER 2012 UNLESS THE CALL-IN PROCESS IS APPLIED

THE FOLLOWING DECISIONS WERE SUBJECT TO CALL-IN AND WERE CONSIDERED AT THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE HELD ON 4 OCTOBER 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE NO.</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
<th>CABINET MEMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ICM/0075/060912| Project for the Local Plan Evidence Base (A29 Realignment Viability)  
The decision was ratified | Bower          |
| ICM/077/060912| Preparation of a Visioning Study for the broad location of development at Barnham/Eastergate/Westergate and Angmering  
The decision was ratified | Bower          |
PART B : INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES?  NO

CABINET MEMBER RESPONSIBLE: Cllr Dudley Wensley

SUBJECT: Flexible Retirement of a member of staff

OFFICER CONTACT: Alan Peach EXTN: 37558 E-Mail: alan.peach@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
This report explains the background to the request and the implications for the service. The request needs to be approved by the Cabinet Member for Corporate Governance as set out in the Flexible Retirement Policy which was approved by Full Council on 23 June 2010

DECISION:
To approve the Flexible Retirement request

REASONS FOR THE DECISION:
To make a saving without an impact on service delivery. It would also allow an extremely loyal and committed employee the opportunity to plan the transition to retirement.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:
b) Not to approve the Flexible Retirement request.

CABINET MEMBER(S)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED:

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE MEMBER TAKING THE DECISION:

DECISION BY: SIGNATURE: DATE:

Relevant Cabinet Member  

Leader of Cabinet  

4/10/12
PART B : INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES?
NO

CABINET MEMBER RESPONSIBLE: Councillor Roger Elkins
SUBJECT: Pest Control Service
OFFICER CONTACT: Louise Crane EXTN: 37669 E-Mail: louise.crane@arun.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
As part of the savings exercise (2009/2013) the Pest Control service has been required to generate increased levels of income. Changes to the fee structure have been agreed by Members and implemented alongside other improvements to service delivery. A report presented to the Environmental Services and Community Development Working Group on 26th September 2012 summarises the outcome of these changes and identifies the future delivery options for the Pest Control service.

DECISION:
To continue with the existing Pest Control service, implementing additional savings through increased income and to continue with existing staffing levels.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION:
To ensure the continued delivery of the pest control services which are of public health significance.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:
Not to accept the recommendations of the Environmental Services and Community Development Working Group made on 26th September 2012.

CABINET MEMBER(S)
DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED:

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE MEMBER TAKING THE DECISION:

DECISION BY: Relevant Cabinet Member
SIGNATURE: 
DATE: 11th October 2012
Leader of Cabinet
PART B : INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URGENT DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 14.11 OF THE SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES?</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CABINET MEMBER RESPONSIBLE:</td>
<td>Councillor Dudley Wensley.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT:</td>
<td>Prosecutions for Housing and Council Tax Benefit Fraud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICER CONTACT:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Officer:</td>
<td>Steve Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext:</td>
<td>37722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steve.clark@arun.gov.uk">steve.clark@arun.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Housing and Council Tax Benefit Prosecution Panel have received one report where benefit has been overpaid and there is evidence that offences have been committed under the Social Security Administration Act 1992. The Panel resolved to recommend to the Cabinet Member for Corporate Governance that the case be prosecuted for Benefit Fraud, subject to the final decision resting with the Solicitor to the Council in relation to specific charges and who may recommend a Local Authority Caution following thorough examination of the evidence.

DECISION:
As recommended in report / as amended by Cabinet / Cabinet Member -
To prosecute in this case subject to the final decision resting with the Solicitor to the Council, who may recommend a Local Authority Caution.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION:
The case fits the criteria of the Council’s Anti Fraud Policy which was adopted by the Council in 2008

OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED:
1. To offer a Local Authority Caution.
2. To apply an administrative penalty equal to 30% of the overpayment and not to prosecute.
3. Do nothing

(Add any further options which arise from the consideration of this decision)

CABINET MEMBER(S)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST BY CABINET MEMBER(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR DECISION:

DISPENSATIONS GRANTED:

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY A CABINET MEMBER WHO IS CONSULTED BY THE MEMBER TAKING THE DECISION:

DECISION BY: Relevant Cabinet Member
Leader of Cabinet

SIGNATURE: [Signature]
DATE: 11/10/12